



Article

## On $l_p$ -Valued Functions for Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\phi$ -Double Integrals on Time Scales

<sup>1</sup>David Adebisi Afariogun, <sup>2</sup>Adesanmi Alao Mogbademu, <sup>1</sup>Bosede Opeyemi Fagbemigun

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Ajayi Crowther University, Oyo, Nigeria;

[da.afariogun@acu.edu.ng](mailto:da.afariogun@acu.edu.ng) (D.A.A.); [bo.fagbemigun@acu.edu.ng](mailto:bo.fagbemigun@acu.edu.ng) (B.O.F.)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria; [amogbademu@unilag.edu.ng](mailto:amogbademu@unilag.edu.ng) (A.A.M.)

\* Correspondence: (D.A.A.) [da.afariogun@acu.edu.ng](mailto:da.afariogun@acu.edu.ng)

*Article history:* received, May 17, 2022; revised, Dec. 20, 2022; accepted, Mar. 20, 2023; published: June 10, 2023.

### Abstract

We define Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\phi$ -double integral for  $l_p$ -valued functions on time scales. Furthermore, some of the basic properties of this integral with values ranging in an  $l_p$ -space for  $0 < p < 1$  are proved and discussed. Our first theorem guarantees the uniqueness of Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\phi$ -double integral of an  $l_p$ -valued functions. The Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\phi$ -double integrals generalizes the Henstock integral for  $l_p$ -valued functions in literature.

**Keywords:** Double integral, Henstock-Kurzweil integral,  $l_p$ -space, Time scales.

### 1. Introduction

Henstock [3] in a note published in 1963 introduced an integral for real-valued function. This integral is usually referred to as Henstock integral, and seems to be easily handled than that of Riemann and Lebesgue. In the last decades or more, Henstock integral has been well studied by many of the researchers investigating certain single real-valued functions on time scales. The following references are recommended for further study of Henstock integral for single real-valued functions and other important properties [2,3,5]. The theory of time scales and its wide applications to so many branches of Mathematics was introduced by Stephen Hilger [4]. In 2006, Peterson and Thompson [7] introduced Henstock delta integral on time scales and gave some of its basic properties. In 2008, Thompson [8] used covering arguments to study Henstock-Kurzweil integrals for single real valued-functions on time scale. Cao [2] considered Henstock integral for Banach-valued functions.

In a recent paper, the authors [1] gave a time scale fuzzy Henstock-Kurzweil Stieltjes integral involving two functions. Furthermore, in the same paper [1], the authors gave some basic properties of fuzzy Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\phi$ -double integral on time scale and also proved the uniform, monotone and dominated convergence theorems for fuzzy Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\phi$ -double integral on time scale. The aim of this paper is to introduce the  $l_p$ -valued functions for Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\phi$ -double integral on time scales and obtain some basic properties of  $l_p$ -valued functions for Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\phi$ -double integral.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be an underlying space,  $F$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra of measurable sets,  $\mu$  the measure, and  $(X, F, \mu)$  denotes a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. If  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is the space  $l_p(X, F, \mu)$  consists of all complex-valued measurable functions on  $X$  satisfying

$$\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

We simply write  $l_p$  when the underlying measure space has been specified. If  $f \in l_p$ , the  $l_p$  norm of  $f$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The case where  $p = 1$ , then the space  $l_1(X, F, \mu)$  consists of all integrable functions on  $X$ , also the case  $p = 2$  is a Hilbert space. The spaces  $l_p$  are examples of normed linear spaces. The basic property of satisfied by the norm is the triangle inequality. In most applications of  $l_p$  spaces, the range of  $p$  which is often of interest is  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . When  $0 < p < 1$ , the function  $\|\cdot\|_p$  does not satisfy the triangle inequality and for such  $p$ , the space  $l_p$  has no non-trivial bounded linear functional. When  $p = 1$ , the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  satisfies the triangle inequality, and  $l_1$  is a complete normed linear space.

The following are examples of  $l_p$  spaces:

(i). Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mu$  a Lebesgue measure. Then,

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

(ii). Let  $X = \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\mu$  a counting measure. Then,

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

which is the discrete version of the  $l_p$  space.

Now, we discuss the following concepts in  $\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{T}_1, c, d \in \mathbb{T}_2$ , where  $a < d, c < d$ , and  $\mathcal{R} = [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} = \{(t, s) : t \in [a, b], s \in [c, d], t \in \mathbb{T}_1, s \in \mathbb{T}_2\}$ . Let  $g_1, g_2: \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be monotone increasing functions on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ , and  $F: \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a bounded function on  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be partitions of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  such that  $P_1 = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $P_2 = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subset [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . Let  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n\}$  represent an arbitrary chosen points from  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  with  $\xi_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}_1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Also, let  $\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n\}$  represent an arbitrary chosen points from  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  with  $\zeta_j \in [s_{j-1}, s_j]_{\mathbb{T}_2}, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , see [6].

**Definition 2.1.** A pair  $\delta = (\delta_L, \delta_R)$  of real-valued functions defined on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  is said to be a  $\Delta$ -gauge for  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  if

$$\begin{cases} \delta_L(t, s) > 0 & \text{on } (a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times (c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \\ \delta_R(t, s) > 0 & \text{on } [a, b)_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d)_{\mathbb{T}_2} \\ \delta_L(a) \geq 0, \delta_R(b) \geq 0, \delta_L(c) \geq 0, \delta_R(d) \geq 0 \\ \delta_R(t, s) \geq \sigma(t, s) - (t, s) & \text{for all } t \in [a, b)_{\mathbb{T}_1}, s \in [c, d)_{\mathbb{T}_2}. \end{cases}$$

A pair  $\gamma = (\gamma_L, \gamma_R)$  of real-valued functions defined on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  is said to be a  $\nabla$ -gauge for  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  if

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_L(t, s) > 0 & \text{on } (a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times (c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \\ \gamma_R(t, s) > 0 & \text{on } [a, b)_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d)_{\mathbb{T}_2} \\ \gamma_L(a) \geq 0, \gamma_R(b) \geq 0, \gamma_L(c) \geq 0, \gamma_R(d) \geq 0 \\ \gamma_R(t, s) \geq (t, s) - \rho(t, s) & \text{for all } t \in (a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}, s \in (c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}. \end{cases}$$

Given a  $\Delta$ -gauge  $\delta$  and a  $\nabla$ -gauge  $\gamma$ , the partitions  $P_1 = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  with tag points  $\xi_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $P_2 = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subset [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  with tag points  $\zeta_j \in [s_{j-1}, s_j]_{\mathbb{T}_2}, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , is said to be:

- $\delta$ -fine if  $g(\xi_i, \zeta_j) - \delta_L \leq g(t_{i-1}, s_{j-1}) < g(t_i, s_j) \leq g((\xi_i, \zeta_j) + \delta_R(\xi_i, \zeta_j))$  and

- $\gamma$ -fine if  $g(\xi_i, \zeta_j) - \gamma_L \leq g(t_{i-1}, s_{j-1}) < g(t_i, s_j) \leq g((\xi_i, \zeta_j) + \gamma_R(\xi_i, \zeta_j))$ .

Now, we present newly the following definitions.

**Definition 2.2.** [1] Let  $F: [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \rightarrow l_p$  be an  $l_p$ -valued function on  $\mathcal{R}$  and let  $g$  be a monotone increasing function defined on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  with partitions  $P_1 = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  with tag points  $\xi_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $P_2 = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subset [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  with tag points  $\zeta_j \in [s_{j-1}, s_j]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then

$$S(P_1, P_2, F, g_1, g_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k F(\xi_i, \zeta_j)(g_1(t_i) - g_1(t_{i-1}))(g_2(s_j) - g_2(s_{j-1}))$$

is defined as  $l_p$ -valued Henstock-Kurweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double sum of  $F$  with respect to functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ .

Let  $P = P_1 \times P_2$  and  $\diamond g_{1_i} \diamond g_{2_j} = (g_1(t_i) - g_1(t_{i-1}))(g_2(s_j) - g_2(s_{j-1}))$ , then the Henstock-Kurweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double sum of  $F$  with respect to functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  is denoted by  $S(P, F, g)$  is written as

$$S(P, F, g_1, g_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k F(\xi_i, \zeta_j) \diamond g_{1_i} \diamond g_{2_j}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, k).$$

**Definition 2.3.** [1] Let  $F: [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \rightarrow l_p$  be an  $l_p$ -valued function on  $\mathcal{R} = [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . We say that  $F$  is  $l_p$ -valued Henstock-Kurweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -integrable with respect to monotone increasing functions  $g_1: [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g_2: [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if there exists  $I \in l_p$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $\diamond$ -gauges  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ ) for  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  respectively such that

$$\| S(P, F, g) - I \|_p < \varepsilon$$

provided that  $P_1 = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  with tag points  $\xi_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  is a  $\delta_1$ -fine (or  $\gamma_1$ ) and  $P_2 = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subset [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  with tag points  $\zeta_j \in [s_{j-1}, s_j]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  is a  $\delta_2$ -fine (or  $\gamma_2$ ) are  $\delta$ -fine (or  $\gamma$ ) partitions of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  respectively.

We say that  $I$  is the  $l_p$ -valued Henstock-Kurweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integral of  $F$  with respect to  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  defined on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ , and write

$$\int \int_{\mathcal{R}} F(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s) = I.$$

### 3. Main Results

Our first theorem guarantees the uniqueness of Henstock-Kurweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integral of an  $l_p$ -valued function, if it exists.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $F: (a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times (c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \rightarrow l_p$  is Henstock-Kurweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integrable with respect to monotone increasing functions  $g_1, g_2$  on  $(a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times (c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ , then the Henstock-Kurweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integral of  $F$  is unique.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are both Henstock-Kurweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integrals of  $F$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . With the assumption that  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are not unique, then  $F$  is said to be Henstock-Kurweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integrable on  $(a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times (c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  if it satisfies the following point wise integrability criterion: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $\diamond$ -gauges  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ ) defined on  $(a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $(c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  respectively, such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $\diamond$ -gauges  $\delta_1^1$  and  $\delta_2^1$  (or  $\gamma_1^1$  and  $\gamma_2^1$ ) for  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $\delta_1^2$  and  $\delta_2^2$  (or  $\gamma_1^2$  and  $\gamma_2^2$ ) for  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  such that

$$\| S(P^1, F, g) - I_1 \|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } \| S(P^2, F, g) - I_2 \|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for all pairs } P^1 = P_1^1 \times P_2^1 \text{ and } P^2 = P_1^2 \times P_2^2 \text{ of } \delta_1\text{-fine (or } \gamma_1)$$

and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , there are  $\diamond$ -gauges  $\delta_1^i$  and  $\delta_2^i$  (or  $\gamma_1^i$  and  $\gamma_2^i$ ) for  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  respectively such that

$$\| S(P^i, F, g) - I_i \|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

provided that  $P^i = P_1^i \times P_2^i$  is a pair of  $\delta_1^i$ -fine (or  $\gamma_1^i$ ) and  $\delta_2^i$ -fine (or  $\gamma_2^i$ ) partitions of  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{T_2}$  respectively.

Let  $\delta_1 = \min\{\delta_1^1, \delta_1^2\}$  i.e.  $(\delta_1)_L = \min\{(\delta_1^1)_L, (\delta_1^2)_L\}$  and  $(\delta_1)_R = \min\{(\delta_1^1)_R, (\delta_1^2)_R\}$  and

$\delta_2 = \min\{\delta_2^1, \delta_2^2\}$  i.e.  $(\delta_2)_L = \min\{(\delta_2^1)_L, (\delta_2^2)_L\}$  and  $(\delta_2)_R = \min\{(\delta_2^1)_R, (\delta_2^2)_R\}$ ,  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are  $\diamond$ -gauges for  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{T_2}$  respectively, and given a pair  $P = P_1 \times P_2$  of  $\delta_1$ -fine and  $\delta_2$ -fine partitions of  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{T_2}$ ,  $P_1$  is a  $\delta_1^1$ -fine and  $\delta_1^2$ -fine partition of  $[a, b]_{T_1}$ ,  $P_2$  is a  $\delta_2^1$ -fine and  $\delta_2^2$ -fine partition of  $[c, d]_{T_2}$ , and let  $\varepsilon = \frac{\|I_1 - I_2\|_p}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \| I_1 - I_2 \|_p &= (\| (I_1 - S(P, F, g)) + S(P, F, g) - I_2 \|_p) \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} (\| S(P, F, g) - I_1 \|_p + \| S(P, F, g) - I_2 \|_p) \\ &< 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Since for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $\diamond$ -gauges  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ ), then it follows that  $I_1 = I_2$ . Hence, the Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integral of  $F$  on  $[a, b]_{T_1} \times [c, d]_{T_2}$  is unique.

**Theorem 3.2.** (Bolzano Cauchy Criterion).

A function  $F$  is Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integrable on  $(a, b]_{T_1} \times (c, d]_{T_2}$  with respect to monotone increasing functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  if and only if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\diamond$ -gauges  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ ) for  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{T_2}$  respectively, such that  $\| S(P^1, F, g) - S(P^2, F, g), F, g \|_p < \varepsilon$  for all pairs  $P^1 = P_1^1 \times P_2^1$  and  $P^2 = P_1^2 \times P_2^2$  of  $\delta_1$  (or  $\gamma_1$ )-fine partitions of  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_2$ )-fine partitions of  $[c, d]_{T_2}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $F$  is Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integrable on  $(a, b]_{T_1} \times (c, d]_{T_2}$  with respect to  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ , and let

$$I = \int_{\mathcal{R}} F(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s).$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There are  $\diamond$ -gauges  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  for  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{T_2}$  respectively such that  $\| S(P, F, g) - I \|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  provided that  $P = P_1 \times P_2$  where  $P_1$  is a  $\delta_1$  (or  $\gamma_1$ ) fine partition of  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $P_2$  is a  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_2$ ) fine partition of  $[c, d]_{T_2}$ . Therefore, if  $P = P_1 \times P_2$  and  $P = P_1' \times P_2'$  are pairs of  $\delta_1$  (or  $\gamma_1$ ) fine partition of  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $P_2$  is a  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_2$ ) fine partition of  $[c, d]_{T_2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \| S(P, F, g) - S(P^1, F, g) \|_p &\leq \| S(P, F, g) - I \|_p + \| I - S(P^1, F, g) \|_p \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $\diamond$ -gauges  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ ) for  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{T_2}$  respectively such that  $\| S(P^1, F, g) - S(P^2, F, g) \|_p < \varepsilon$  for all pairs  $P^1 = P_1^1 \times P_2^1$  and  $P^2 = P_1^2 \times P_2^2$  of  $\delta_1$  (or  $\gamma_1$ )-fine partitions of  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_2$ )-fine partitions of  $[c, d]_{T_2}$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ , there are  $\diamond$ -gauges  $\delta_{1,n}$  and  $\delta_{2,n}$  (or  $\gamma_{1,n}$  and  $\gamma_{2,n}$ ) for  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{T_2}$  respectively such that  $\| S(P', F, g) - S(P^2, F, g) \|_p < \varepsilon$  for all pairs  $P^1 = P_1^1 \times P_2^1$  and  $P^2 = P_1^2 \times P_2^2$  of  $\delta_{1,n}$  (or  $\gamma_{1,n}$ )-fine partitions of  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $\delta_{2,n}$  (or  $\gamma_{2,n}$ )-fine partitions of  $[c, d]_{T_2}$ .

By replacing  $\delta_{i,n}$  by  $\min\{\delta_{i,1}, \delta_{i,2}, \dots, \delta_{i,n}\}$  with  $i \in \{1,2\}$ , we may assume that  $\delta_{i,n+1} \leq \delta_{i,n}$ . Thus, for all  $j > n$   $\delta_{i,j} \leq \delta_{i,n}$  so any pair  $P^n = P_1^n \times P_2^n$  of  $\delta_{1,n}$  (or  $\gamma_{1,n}$ )-fine partitions of  $[a, b]_{T_1}$  and  $\delta_{2,n}$  (or

$\gamma_{2,n}$ )-fine partitions of  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  is also a pair of  $\delta_{1,j}$  (or  $\gamma_{1,j}$ )-fine partitions of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $\delta_{2,j}$  (or  $\gamma_{2,j}$ )-fine partitions of  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ , hence

$$\| S(P^n, F, g) - S(P^j, F, g) \|_p < \frac{1}{j}.$$

This shows that  $\{S(P^n, F, g)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Let  $I$  be the limit of  $\{S(P^n, F, g)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choosing  $N > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ , for  $\delta$ -gauges  $\delta_{1,N}$  and  $\delta_{2,N}$  (or  $\gamma_{1,N}$  and  $\gamma_{2,N}$ ) for  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  respectively. Then there exists  $N_1$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1$ , we have

$$\| S(P^n, F, g) - I \|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}.$$

If there exists  $N_2$  such that  $\frac{2^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}{\varepsilon} < N_2$ , let  $N_0 = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$  and  $n \geq N_0$ . Let  $\delta(s, t) = \delta_{N_1}$  and  $P$  be a  $\delta$ -fine tagged partition of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . Hence,

$$\| S(P, F, g) - S(P^{N_1}, F, g) \|_p < \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N_0} \leq \frac{1}{N_2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \| S(P, F, g) - I \|_p &\leq \| S(P, F, g) - S(P^{N_1}, F, g) + S(P^{N_1}, F, g) - I \|_p \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} (\| S(P, F, g) - S(P^{N_1}, F, g) \|_p + \| S(P^{N_1}, F, g) - I \|_p) \\ &< \frac{1}{N} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\frac{p+1}{p}}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\frac{p+1}{p}}} \right) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

for pair  $P = P_1 \times P_2$  such that  $P_1$  is a  $\delta_{1,N}$  (or  $\gamma_{1,N}$ ) fine partition of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $P_2$  is a  $\delta_{2,N}$  (or  $\gamma_{2,N}$ ) fine partition of  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $F: [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \rightarrow l_p$  be a continuous function and  $g = g_1 \times g_2$  is of bounded variation, then  $F$  is Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\delta$ -double integrable with respect to  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $g$  is of bounded variation, there is a positive constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k |(g_1(t_i) - g_1(t_{i-1}))(g_2(s_j) - g_2(s_{j-1}))| \leq K$$

for any partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . Since  $F$  is continuous on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ ; then, there is a  $\lambda > 0$  such that if  $|(s, t) - (s_0, t_0)| < \lambda$  for  $s, t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ , thus

$$\| F(s, t) - F(s_0, t_0) \|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{K 2^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}.$$

Define  $\delta(t, s) > 0$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  by  $\delta(s, t) = \frac{\lambda}{2}$  for all  $s, t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  and let  $P_1$  is a  $\delta_1$  (or  $\gamma_1$ ) fine partition of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $P_2$  is a  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_2$ ) fine partition of  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . Therefore, if  $P = P_1 \times P_2$  and  $P = P'_1 \times P'_2$  are pairs of  $\delta_1$  (or  $\gamma_1$ ) fine partition of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $P_2$  is a  $\delta_2$  (or  $\gamma_2$ ) fine partition of  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . We have to establish that

$$\| S(P_1, F, g) - S(P_2, F, g) \|_p < \varepsilon.$$

Without loss of generality, and that  $P_1$  is a refinement of  $P_2$ . Thus, we establish the following cases:

(i). Consider the case where the tagged partitions  $P_1, P_2$  and  $P'_1, P'_2$  are different in their tags. That is, we have  $P_1 = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  with tag points  $\xi_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  is a  $\delta_1$ -fine (or  $\gamma_1$ ) and  $P_2 = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subset [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  with tag points  $\zeta_j \in [s_{j-1}, s_j]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  is a  $\delta_2$ -fine (or  $\gamma_2$ ) are  $\delta$ -fine (or  $\gamma$ ) partitions of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  respectively and also,  $P'_1, P'_2$  with the tags  $\xi'_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\zeta'_j \in [s_{j-1}, s_j]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  where  $(\xi_i, \zeta_j) \neq (\xi'_i, \zeta'_j)$  for each  $i, j$ .

Let  $\diamond g_{1_i} \diamond g_{2_j} = (g_1(t_i) - g_1(t_{i-1}))(g_2(s_j) - g_2(s_{j-1}))$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(P_1, F, g_1, g_2) - S(P_2, F, g_1, g_2)\|_p &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k F(\xi_i, \zeta_j) \diamond g_{1_i} \diamond g_{2_j} - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k F(\xi'_i, \zeta'_j) \diamond g_{1_i} \diamond g_{2_j} \right\|_p \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \|F(\xi_i, \zeta_j) \diamond g_{1_i} \diamond g_{2_j} - F(\xi'_i, \zeta'_j) \diamond g_{1_i} \diamond g_{2_j}\|_p \\ &< 2^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \left[ | \diamond g_{1_i} \diamond g_{2_j} | \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{K 2^{\frac{p+1}{p}}} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{K} \right) (K) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(ii). Suppose that  $P_2$  is a refinement of  $P_1$  by adding one point, say  $s'_j$  for  $s_{j-1} < s'_j < s_j$  with  $P_2 = \{(s'_j, [s_{j-1}, s_j]_{\mathbb{T}_2}), j = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \subset [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  with tag points  $\zeta'_j \in [s_{j-1}, s_j]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  is a  $\delta_2$ -fine (or  $\gamma_2$ ) are  $\delta$ -fine (or  $\gamma$ ) partitions of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$

Hence  $\|S(P_2, F, g_1, g_2) - S(P_1, F, g_1, g_2)\|_p$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \|F(\xi_{i-1}, \zeta'_{j-1})[(g_1(t_i) - g_1(t_{i-1}))(g_2(s'_j) - g_2(s_{j-1}))] \\ &\quad + F(\xi_i, \zeta_j)[(g_1(t_i) - g_1(t_{i-1}))(g_2(s_j) - g_2(s'_{j-1}))] - F(\xi_i, \zeta_j)[(g_1(t_i) - g_1(t_{i-1}))(g_2(s_j) - g_2(s_{j-1}))]\|_p \\ &= \|[(g_1(t_i) - g_1(t_{i-1}))(g_2(s'_j) - g_2(s_{j-1}))]\| \|F(\xi_{i-1}, \zeta'_{j-1}) - F(\xi_i, \zeta_j)\|_p \\ &< K \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{K 2^{\frac{p+1}{p}}} \right) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

We present the following algebraic properties of the  $l_p$ -valued Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integral on time scales.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $F, H: [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2} \rightarrow l_p$  be an  $l_p$ -valued function with  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  be monotone increasing functions respectively on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  and  $[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . If  $F$  is Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integrable with respect to  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  on  $\mathcal{R} = [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . Then,

- i.  $\int_{\mathcal{R}} \lambda F(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s) = \lambda \int_{\mathcal{R}} F(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s)$ ,  $\lambda$  is a constant;
- ii.  $\int_{\mathcal{R}} (F(t, s) + H(t, s)) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} F(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s) + \int_{\mathcal{R}} H(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $F$  be Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integrable with respect to monotone increasing functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a constant. The case  $\lambda = 0$  is trivial. Suppose  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Since  $F$  Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integrable, there is a function  $\delta(t, s) > 0$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  such that

$$\|S(P, F, g_1, g_2) - \lambda \int_{\mathcal{R}} F(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s)\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{|\lambda|}$$

whenever  $P$  is a  $\delta$ -fine tagged partition of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \| S(P, \lambda F, g_1, g_2) - \lambda \int_{\mathcal{R}} F(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s) \|_p = \| \lambda S(P, F, g_1, g_2) - \lambda \int_{\mathcal{R}} F(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s) \|_p \\ & < |\lambda| \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|\lambda|} \right) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Suppose  $\int_{\mathcal{R}} F(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s) = I_1$  and  $\int_{\mathcal{R}} H(t, s) \diamond g_1(t) \diamond g_2(s) = I_2$ . There exists a positive function  $\delta_1$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  when  $P_1 = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  with tag points  $\xi_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}_1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and a  $\delta_1$ -fine (or  $\gamma_1$ ) such that

$$\| S(P_1, F, g_1, g_2) - I_1 \|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\left(\frac{1}{2^p}\right)}}.$$

Also, there is a function  $\delta_2 > 0$  when  $P_2 = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subset [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  with tag points  $\zeta_j \in [s_{j-1}, s_j]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  is a  $\delta_2$ -fine (or  $\gamma_2$ ) such that

$$\| S(P_2, F, g_1, g_2) - I_1 \|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\left(\frac{1}{2^p}\right)}}.$$

Define a function  $\delta(t, s) > 0$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$  by  $\delta(t, s) = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$  for all  $(t, s) \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . Let  $P$  be a  $\delta$ -fine tagged partition of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}_1} \times [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}_2}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \| S(P, F + H, g_1, g_2) - (I_1 + I_2) \|_p = \| S(P, F, g_1, g_2) + S(P, H, g_1, g_2) - (I_1 + I_2) \|_p \\ & \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} (\| S(P, F, g_1, g_2) - I_1 \|_p + \| S(P, H, g_1, g_2) - I_2 \|_p) \\ & < 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\left(\frac{1}{2^p}\right)}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\left(\frac{1}{2^p}\right)}} \right) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. Conclusion

In this article, we defined Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integrals for  $l_p$ -valued functions on time scales. Some of the basic properties of this integral with values ranging in an  $l_p$ -space, with  $0 < p < 1$  are discussed on time scales.

**Funding:** Not applicable.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** Not applicable

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

1. Afariogun, D. A., Mogbademu, A. A. and Olaoluwa, H. O. (2021). On fuzzy Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\diamond$ -double integral on time scales. *J Math Anal and Model* 2(2): 38-49.
2. Cao, S.S. (1992). The Henstock Integral for Banach-Valued Functions. *SEA Bull. Math.* 16(1): 35-40.
3. Henstock, R. (1963). *The theory of Integration*, Butterworth, London.
4. Hilger, S. (1988). Ein MaB kettenkalkul mit Anwendung auf Zentrumannigfahigkeiten, Ph.D. Thesis, Universtat Wurzburg, pp. 10-24.
5. Lim, J. S., Yoon, J. and Eun, G. (1998). On Henstock-Stieltjes Integral. *Kangweon-Kyungki Math. Journal* 6(1): 87-96.
6. Mozyrska, D., Pawluszewicz, E. and Torres, D. F. M. (2010). Inequalities and majorisations for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral on time scales. *J. Math. Inequal. Appl.* 14(2): 14-23.

7. Peterson, A. and Thompson, B. (2006). Henstock-Kurzweil delta and nabla integrals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 323: 162-178.
8. Thompson, B. (2008). Henstock-Kurzweil integrals on time scales. *Panamer. Math. J.* 18: 1-19.

Cite article as:

Afariogun, D.A., Mogbademu, A.A., Fagbemigun, B.O. On  $I_p$ -Valued Functions for Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieltjes- $\phi$ -Double Integrals on Time Scales. *Ajayi Crowther J. Pure Appl. Sci.* **2023**, 2(2): 79-86.  
<https://doi.org/10.56534/acjpas.2023.02.02.79>.